09 NCAC 06B .1005 ANTICOMPETITIVE, DECEPTIVE, AND FRAUDULENT PRACTICES

- (a) A purchasing agency shall act to prevent the continuance of anticompetitive, deceptive, or fraudulent practices. Anticompetitive practices include actions involving offerors that restrain trade or commerce or eliminate competition.
- (b) Anticompetitive, deceptive, or fraudulent practices may be evidenced by one or more of the following:
 - (1) Conspiracy (in restraint of trade or commerce);
 - (2) Combination bidding (in restraint of trade or commerce);
 - (3) Price fixing (which may include reliance upon an industry price list);
 - (4) Collusion;
 - (5) Identical bidding;
 - (6) Agreements to:
 - (A) Rotate offers;
 - (B) Share the profits with an offeror who is not the low offeror;
 - (C) Sublet work in advance of bidding as a means of preventing competition;
 - (D) Refrain from bidding;
 - (E) Submit prearranged offers;
 - (F) Submit complementary offers;
 - (G) Set up territories to restrict competition;
 - (H) Alternate bidding; or
 - (I) Any other unlawful act in restraint of trade or commerce.
- (c) Agency actions to discourage or prevent the continuance of anticompetitive, deceptive, or fraudulent practices may include the following:
 - (1) Rejecting the offending offeror's offer;
 - (2) Awarding a bid to an offeror with a cost or technical proposal that is evaluated lower than the offending offeror's proposal; and
 - (3) Recommending that the State CIO suspend an offeror from doing business with the State;
- (d) The purchasing agency shall report evidence of anticompetitive, deceptive or fraudulent practices to the Attorney General's office and any other appropriate law enforcement authority.

History Note: Authority G.S. 75-1, et seq.; 133-24, et seq.; 147-33.76(b1);

Temporary Adoption Eff. January 1, 2000;

Eff. August 1, 2000;

Amended Eff. September 1, 2013;

Pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.3A, rule is necessary without substantive public interest Eff. April 25, 2015.